

Quasi-elementary H -Azumaya algebras arising from generalized (anti) Yetter-Drinfeld modules

Florin Panaite*

Institute of Mathematics of the Romanian Academy
PO-Box 1-764, RO-014700 Bucharest, Romania
e-mail: Florin.Panaite@imar.ro

Freddy Van Oystaeyen

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science
University of Antwerp, Middelheimlaan 1
B-2020 Antwerp, Belgium
e-mail: Francine.Schoeters@ua.ac.be

Abstract

Let H be a Hopf algebra with bijective antipode, $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Aut}_{\text{Hopf}}(H)$ and M a finite dimensional (α, β) -Yetter-Drinfeld module. We prove that $\text{End}(M)$ endowed with certain structures becomes an H -Azumaya algebra, and the set of H -Azumaya algebras of this type is a subgroup of $BQ(k, H)$, the Brauer group of H .

Introduction

Let H be a Hopf algebra with bijective antipode S and $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Aut}_{\text{Hopf}}(H)$. An (α, β) -Yetter-Drinfeld module, as introduced in [10], is a left H -module right H -comodule M with the following compatibility condition:

$$(h \cdot m)_{(0)} \otimes (h \cdot m)_{(1)} = h_2 \cdot m_{(0)} \otimes \beta(h_3)m_{(1)}\alpha(S^{-1}(h_1)).$$

This concept is a generalization of three kinds of objects appearing in the literature. Namely, for $\alpha = \beta = \text{id}_H$, one obtains the usual Yetter-Drinfeld modules; for $\alpha = S^2$, $\beta = \text{id}_H$, one obtains the so-called anti-Yetter-Drinfeld modules, introduced in [5], [6], [7] as coefficients for the cyclic cohomology of Hopf algebras defined by Connes and Moscovici in [3], [4]; finally, an (id_H, β) -Yetter-Drinfeld module is a generalization of a certain object H_β defined in [2]. The main result in [10] is that, if we denote by $\mathcal{YD}(H)$ the disjoint union of the categories ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\alpha, \beta)$ of (α, β) -Yetter-Drinfeld modules, for all $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Aut}_{\text{Hopf}}(H)$, then $\mathcal{YD}(H)$ acquires the structure of a braided T-category (a concept introduced by Turaev in [12]) over a certain group G , a semidirect product between two copies of $\text{Aut}_{\text{Hopf}}(H)$. Moreover, the subcategory $\mathcal{YD}(H)_{fd}$ consisting of finite dimensional objects has left and right dualities.

*Research carried out while the first author was visiting the University of Antwerp, supported by a postdoctoral fellowship offered by FWO (Flemish Scientific Research Foundation). This author was also partially supported by the programme CEEX of the Romanian Ministry of Education and Research, contract nr. 2-CEX06-11-20/2006.

The Brauer group $BQ(k, H)$ of the Hopf algebra H was introduced in [1], by taking equivalence classes of so-called H -Azumaya algebras in the braided category ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ of Yetter-Drinfeld modules over H , and using the braided product inside this category to define the multiplication of the group. If $M \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ is a finite dimensional object, then $End(M)$ is an H -Azumaya algebra, representing the unit element in $BQ(k, H)$. Also, if H is finite dimensional and $\beta \in Aut_{Hopf}(H)$, the object H_β mentioned before is not an object in ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ but nevertheless $End(H_\beta)$ with certain structures becomes an H -Azumaya algebra, and moreover the map $\beta \mapsto End(H_\beta)$ gives a group anti-homomorphism from $Aut_{Hopf}(H)$ to $BQ(k, H)$, see [2].

The aim of this paper is to construct a new class of examples of H -Azumaya algebras, containing the two classes mentioned above as particular cases. Namely, we prove that if $\alpha, \beta \in Aut_{Hopf}(H)$ and $M \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\alpha, \beta)$ is finite dimensional, then $End(M)$ endowed with certain structures becomes an H -Azumaya algebra. The proof is rather technical and relies heavily on the fact that $\mathcal{YD}(H)_{fd}$ is a braided T-category with dualities. We also prove that, if we denote by $BA(k, H)$ the subset of $BQ(k, H)$ consisting of H -Azumaya algebras that can be represented as $End(M)$, with $M \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\alpha, \beta)$ finite dimensional, for some $\alpha, \beta \in Aut_{Hopf}(H)$, then $BA(k, H)$ is a subgroup of $BQ(k, H)$.

1 Preliminaries

We work over a ground field k . All algebras, linear spaces, etc. will be over k ; unadorned $\otimes$ means $\otimes_k$. Unless otherwise stated, H will denote a Hopf algebra with bijective antipode S . We will use the version of Sweedler's sigma notation: $\Delta(h) = h_1 \otimes h_2$. For unexplained concepts and notation about Hopf algebras we refer to [8], [9], [11]. By $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \dots$ we will usually denote Hopf algebra automorphisms of H . If M is a vector space, a left H -module (respectively right H -comodule) structure on M will be usually denoted by $h \otimes m \mapsto h \cdot m$ (respectively $m \mapsto m_{(0)} \otimes m_{(1)}$).

We recall now some facts from [10] about (α, β) -Yetter-Drinfeld modules.

Definition 1.1 *Let $\alpha, \beta \in Aut_{Hopf}(H)$. An (α, β) -Yetter-Drinfeld module over H is a vector space M , such that M is a left H -module (with notation $h \otimes m \mapsto h \cdot m$) and a right H -comodule (with notation $M \rightarrow M \otimes H$, $m \mapsto m_{(0)} \otimes m_{(1)}$) with the following compatibility condition:*

$$(h \cdot m)_{(0)} \otimes (h \cdot m)_{(1)} = h_2 \cdot m_{(0)} \otimes \beta(h_3)m_{(1)}\alpha(S^{-1}(h_1)), \quad (1.1)$$

for all $h \in H$ and $m \in M$. We denote by ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\alpha, \beta)$ the category of (α, β) -Yetter-Drinfeld modules, morphisms being the H -linear H -colinear maps.

Remark 1.2 *As for usual Yetter-Drinfeld modules, one can see that (1.1) is equivalent to*

$$h_1 \cdot m_{(0)} \otimes \beta(h_2)m_{(1)} = (h_2 \cdot m)_{(0)} \otimes (h_2 \cdot m)_{(1)}\alpha(h_1). \quad (1.2)$$

Example 1.3 *For $\alpha = \beta = id_H$, we have ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(id, id) = {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$, the usual category of (left-right) Yetter-Drinfeld modules. For $\alpha = S^2$, $\beta = id_H$, the compatibility condition (1.1) becomes*

$$(h \cdot m)_{(0)} \otimes (h \cdot m)_{(1)} = h_2 \cdot m_{(0)} \otimes h_3m_{(1)}S(h_1), \quad (1.3)$$

hence ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(S^2, id)$ is the category of anti-Yetter-Drinfeld modules defined in [5], [6], [7].

Example 1.4 For $\beta \in \text{Aut}_{\text{Hopf}}(H)$, define H_β as in [2], that is $H_\beta = H$, with regular right H -comodule structure and left H -module structure given by $h \cdot h' = \beta(h_2)h'S^{-1}(h_1)$, for all $h, h' \in H$. It was noted in [2] that H_β satisfies a certain compatibility condition, which actually says that $H_\beta \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\text{id}, \beta)$. More generally, if $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Aut}_{\text{Hopf}}(H)$, define $H_{\alpha, \beta}$ as follows: $H_{\alpha, \beta} = H$, with regular right H -comodule structure and left H -module structure given by $h \cdot h' = \beta(h_2)h'\alpha(S^{-1}(h_1))$, for $h, h' \in H$. Then one can check that $H_{\alpha, \beta} \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\alpha, \beta)$.

Example 1.5 Let $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Aut}_{\text{Hopf}}(H)$ and assume that there exist an algebra map $f : H \rightarrow k$ and a group-like element $g \in H$ such that

$$\alpha(h) = g^{-1}f(h_1)\beta(h_2)f(S(h_3))g, \quad \forall h \in H. \quad (1.4)$$

Then one can check that $k \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\alpha, \beta)$, with structures $h \cdot 1 = f(h)$ and $1 \mapsto 1 \otimes g$. More generally, if V is any vector space, then $V \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\alpha, \beta)$, with structures $h \cdot v = f(h)v$ and $v \mapsto v \otimes g$, for all $h \in H$ and $v \in V$.

Definition 1.6 If $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Aut}_{\text{Hopf}}(H)$ such that there exist f, g as in Example 1.5, we say that (f, g) is a pair in involution corresponding to (α, β) (in analogy with the concept of modular pair in involution due to Connes and Moscovici) and the (α, β) -Yetter-Drinfeld modules k and V constructed in Example 1.5 are denoted by ${}_fk^g$ and respectively ${}_fV^g$.

For instance, if $\alpha \in \text{Aut}_{\text{Hopf}}(H)$, then $(\varepsilon, 1)$ is a pair in involution corresponding to (α, α) .

2 Tensor products and duals

By [5], the tensor product of a Yetter-Drinfeld module with an anti-Yetter-Drinfeld module becomes an anti-Yetter-Drinfeld module. We generalize this result as follows:

Proposition 2.1 Let $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \text{Aut}_{\text{Hopf}}(H)$ and M, N two vector spaces which are left H -modules and right H -comodules.

(i) Endow $M \otimes N$ with the left H -module structure $h \cdot (m \otimes n) = h_1 \cdot m \otimes h_2 \cdot n$ and the right H -comodule structure $m \otimes n \mapsto (m_{(0)} \otimes n_{(0)}) \otimes n_{(1)}m_{(1)}$ (we call these structures "of type one"). If $M \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\alpha, \beta)$ and $N \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\beta, \gamma)$, then $M \otimes N \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\alpha, \gamma)$; in particular, if $M \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(S^2, \text{id})$ and $N \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$, then $M \otimes N \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(S^2, \text{id})$, and if $M \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\text{id}, \beta)$ and $N \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\beta, \text{id})$, then $M \otimes N \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$.

(ii) Endow $M \otimes N$ with the left H -module structure $h \cdot (m \otimes n) = h_2 \cdot m \otimes h_1 \cdot n$ and the right H -comodule structure $m \otimes n \mapsto (m_{(0)} \otimes n_{(0)}) \otimes m_{(1)}n_{(1)}$ (we call these structures "of type two"). If $M \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\alpha, \beta)$ and $N \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\gamma, \alpha)$, then $M \otimes N \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\gamma, \beta)$; in particular, if $M \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ and $N \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(S^2, \text{id})$, then $M \otimes N \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(S^2, \text{id})$, and if $M \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\alpha, \text{id})$ and $N \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\text{id}, \alpha)$, then $M \otimes N \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$.

Proof. We include here a direct proof for (i) (while (ii) is similar and left to the reader), an indirect proof will appear below. We compute:

$$\begin{aligned} & (h \cdot (m \otimes n))_{(0)} \otimes (h \cdot (m \otimes n))_{(1)} \\ &= (h_1 \cdot m \otimes h_2 \cdot n)_{(0)} \otimes (h_1 \cdot m \otimes h_2 \cdot n)_{(1)} \\ &= ((h_1 \cdot m)_{(0)} \otimes (h_2 \cdot n)_{(0)}) \otimes (h_2 \cdot n)_{(1)}(h_1 \cdot m)_{(1)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (h_{(1,2)} \cdot m_{(0)} \otimes h_{(2,2)} \cdot n_{(0)}) \otimes \gamma(h_{(2,3)})n_{(1)}\beta(S^{-1}(h_{(2,1)}))\beta(h_{(1,3)})m_{(1)}\alpha(S^{-1}(h_{(1,1)})) \\
&= (h_2 \cdot m_{(0)} \otimes h_5 \cdot n_{(0)}) \otimes \gamma(h_6)n_{(1)}\beta(S^{-1}(h_4)h_3)m_{(1)}\alpha(S^{-1}(h_1)) \\
&= (h_2 \cdot m_{(0)} \otimes h_3 \cdot n_{(0)}) \otimes \gamma(h_4)n_{(1)}m_{(1)}\alpha(S^{-1}(h_1)) \\
&= h_2 \cdot (m \otimes n)_{(0)} \otimes \gamma(h_3)(m \otimes n)_{(1)}\alpha(S^{-1}(h_1)),
\end{aligned}$$

that is $M \otimes N \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\alpha, \gamma)$. $\square$

In what follows, a tensor product with structures of type one will be denoted by $\tilde{\otimes}$, and one with structures of type two will be denoted by $\bar{\otimes}$.

By [10], if $M \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\alpha, \beta)$ and $N \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\gamma, \delta)$, then $M \otimes N$ becomes an object in ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\alpha\gamma, \delta\gamma^{-1}\beta\gamma)$ with the following structures:

$$\begin{aligned}
h \cdot (m \otimes n) &= \gamma(h_1) \cdot m \otimes \gamma^{-1}\beta\gamma(h_2) \cdot n, \\
m \otimes n &\mapsto (m \otimes n)_{(0)} \otimes (m \otimes n)_{(1)} := (m_{(0)} \otimes n_{(0)}) \otimes n_{(1)}m_{(1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

This tensor product defines, on the disjoint union $\mathcal{YD}(H)$ of all categories ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\alpha, \beta)$, a structure of a braided T-category (see [10]), and will be denoted by $\hat{\otimes}$ in what follows.

We want to see what is the relation between this tensor product and $\tilde{\otimes}$. We need a generalization of a result in [10], which states that, if $\beta \in \text{Aut}_{\text{Hopf}}(H)$, then ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\beta, \beta) \simeq {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$.

Proposition 2.2 *If $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \text{Aut}_{\text{Hopf}}(H)$, the categories ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\alpha\beta, \gamma\beta)$ and ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\alpha, \gamma)$ are isomorphic. A pair of inverse functors (F, G) is given as follows. If $M \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\alpha\beta, \gamma\beta)$, then $F(M) \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\alpha, \gamma)$, where $F(M) = M$ as vector space, with structures $h \rightarrow m = \beta^{-1}(h) \cdot m$ and $m \mapsto m_{<0>} \otimes m_{<1>} := m_{(0)} \otimes m_{(1)}$, for all $h \in H$, $m \in M$. If $N \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\alpha, \gamma)$, then $G(N) \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\alpha\beta, \gamma\beta)$, where $G(N) = N$ as vector space, with structures $h \rightarrow n = \beta(h) \cdot n$ and $n \mapsto n^{(0)} \otimes n^{(1)} := n_{(0)} \otimes n_{(1)}$, for all $h \in H$, $n \in N$. Both F and G act as identities on morphisms.*

Proof. Everything follows by a direct computation. $\square$

Corollary 2.3 *We have isomorphisms of categories:*

$$\begin{aligned}
{}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\alpha, \beta) &\simeq {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\alpha\beta^{-1}, id), \quad {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\alpha, \beta) \simeq {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(id, \beta\alpha^{-1}), \\
{}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\alpha, id) &\simeq {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(id, \alpha^{-1}), \quad {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(id, \beta) \simeq {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\beta^{-1}, id),
\end{aligned}$$

for all $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Aut}_{\text{Hopf}}(H)$.

Let now $M \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\alpha, \beta)$ and $N \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\beta, \gamma)$. On the one hand, we can consider the tensor product $M \hat{\otimes} N$, which is an object in ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\alpha\beta, \gamma\beta)$. On the other hand, we have the tensor product $M \tilde{\otimes} N$, which is an object in ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\alpha, \gamma)$. Using the above formulae, one can then check that we have:

Proposition 2.4 $M \tilde{\otimes} N = F(M \hat{\otimes} N)$.

Let M be a finite dimensional vector space such that M is a left H -module and a right H -comodule. Denote by $M^\diamond$ the dual vector space M^* , endowed with the following left H -module and right H -comodule structures:

$$(h \cdot f)(m) = f(S(h) \cdot m),$$

$$f_{(0)}(m) \otimes f_{(1)} = f(m_{(0)}) \otimes S^{-1}(m_{(1)}),$$

for all $h \in H$, $f \in M^\diamond$, $m \in M$, and by ${}^\diamond M$ the same vector space M^* endowed with the following left H -module and right H -comodule structures:

$$\begin{aligned} (h \cdot f)(m) &= f(S^{-1}(h) \cdot m), \\ f_{(0)}(m) \otimes f_{(1)} &= f(m_{(0)}) \otimes S(m_{(1)}), \end{aligned}$$

for all $h \in H$, $f \in {}^\diamond M$, $m \in M$ (if M would be an object in ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$, then $M^\diamond$ and ${}^\diamond M$ would be the left and right duals of M in ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$).

Proposition 2.5 *If M is a finite dimensional object in ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\alpha, \beta)$, then $M^\diamond$ and ${}^\diamond M$ are objects in ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\beta, \alpha)$.*

Proof. Follows by direct computation (an alternative proof will appear below). $\square$

Recall now from [10] that, if M is a finite dimensional object in ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\alpha, \beta)$, then M has left and right duals M^* and respectively *M in the T-category $\mathcal{YD}(H)$; in particular, M^* and *M are objects in ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\alpha^{-1}, \alpha\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1})$, defined as follows: as vector spaces they coincide both to the dual vector space of M , with structures:

$$\begin{aligned} (h \cdot f)(m) &= f((\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1}S(h)) \cdot m), \\ f_{(0)}(m) \otimes f_{(1)} &= f(m_{(0)}) \otimes S^{-1}(m_{(1)}), \end{aligned}$$

for M^* , and

$$\begin{aligned} (h \cdot f)(m) &= f((\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1}S^{-1}(h)) \cdot m), \\ f_{(0)}(m) \otimes f_{(1)} &= f(m_{(0)}) \otimes S(m_{(1)}), \end{aligned}$$

for *M . We are interested to see how the objects $M^\diamond$, M^* and respectively ${}^\diamond M$, *M are related. Consider the functor F as in Proposition 2.2, but this time between the categories ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\beta(\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1}), \alpha(\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1}))$ and ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\beta, \alpha)$. Then, using the expression for F and the above formulae, one can check that we have:

Proposition 2.6 $M^\diamond = F(M^*)$ and ${}^\diamond M = F({}^*M)$.

Lemma 2.7 *Let $M \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\alpha, \beta)$ and $N \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\gamma, \delta)$ finite dimensional. Then the map*

$$\Psi : N^* \hat{\otimes} M^* \rightarrow (M \hat{\otimes} N)^*, \quad \Psi(n^* \otimes m^*)(m \otimes n) := m^*(m)n^*(n),$$

is an isomorphism in ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\gamma^{-1}\alpha^{-1}, \alpha\beta^{-1}\gamma\delta^{-1}\gamma^{-1}\alpha^{-1})$.

Proof. Straightforward computation. $\square$

3 Endomorphism algebras

Let A be an algebra in ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$. We denote by A^{op} the (usual) opposite algebra, with multiplication $a \bullet a' = a'a$ for all $a, a' \in A$, and by $\overline{A}$ the H -opposite algebra (the opposite of A in the category ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$), which equals A as object in ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ but with multiplication $a * a' = a'_{(0)}(a'_{(1)} \cdot a)$, for all $a, a' \in A$.

If A, B are algebras in ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$, then $A \otimes B$ becomes also an algebra in ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ with the following structures:

$$\begin{aligned} h \cdot (a \otimes b) &= h_1 \cdot a \otimes h_2 \cdot b, \\ (a \otimes b) &\mapsto (a_{(0)} \otimes b_{(0)}) \otimes b_{(1)} a_{(1)}, \\ (a \otimes b)(a' \otimes b') &= aa'_{(0)} \otimes (a'_{(1)} \cdot b)b'. \end{aligned}$$

This algebra structure on $A \otimes B$ (which is just the braided tensor product of A and B in the braided category ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$) is denoted by $A \# B$ and its elements are denoted by $a \# b$.

We introduce now endomorphism algebras associated to (α, β) -Yetter-Drinfeld modules.

Proposition 3.1 *Let $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Aut}_{H\text{opf}}(H)$ and $M \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\alpha, \beta)$ finite dimensional. Then:*

(i) *$\text{End}(M)$ becomes an algebra in ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$, with structures:*

$$\begin{aligned} (h \cdot u)(m) &= \alpha^{-1}(h_1) \cdot u(\alpha^{-1}(S(h_2))) \cdot m, \\ u_{(0)}(m) \otimes u_{(1)} &= u(m_{(0)})_{(0)} \otimes S^{-1}(m_{(1)})u(m_{(0)})_{(1)}, \end{aligned}$$

for all $h \in H, u \in \text{End}(M), m \in M$.

(ii) *$\text{End}(M)^{op}$ becomes an algebra in ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$, with structures:*

$$\begin{aligned} (h \cdot u)(m) &= \beta^{-1}(h_2) \cdot u(\beta^{-1}(S^{-1}(h_1))) \cdot m, \\ u_{(0)}(m) \otimes u_{(1)} &= u(m_{(0)})_{(0)} \otimes u(m_{(0)})_{(1)} S(m_{(1)}), \end{aligned}$$

for all $h \in H, u \in \text{End}(M)^{op}, m \in M$.

Proof. Everything follows by direct computation. Note that the structures of $\text{End}(M)$ can be obtained in two (equivalent) ways, namely either take $M \hat{\otimes} M^*$, which is in ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$, and then transfer its structures to $\text{End}(M)$, or by taking first $M \tilde{\otimes} M^\diamond$, which is in ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\alpha, \alpha)$, transforming this into an object in ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ via the isomorphism ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\alpha, \alpha) \simeq {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$, and finally transferring the structures to $\text{End}(M)$. Similarly, the structures of $\text{End}(M)^{op}$ can be obtained either by transferring the structures from ${}^*M \hat{\otimes} M$, which is in ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$, or by taking first ${}^\diamond M \tilde{\otimes} M$, which is in ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\beta, \beta)$, transforming this into an object in ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ via the isomorphism ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\beta, \beta) \simeq {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ and finally transferring the structures to $\text{End}(M)^{op}$. $\square$

Remark 3.2 *Assume that there exists a pair in involution (f, g) corresponding to (α, β) and consider the (α, β) -Yetter-Drinfeld module ${}_fk^g$ as in the Preliminaries. Then one can easily check that $\text{End}({}_fk^g)$ coincides, as an algebra in ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$, with k with trivial Yetter-Drinfeld structures.*

Let $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \text{Aut}_{H\text{opf}}(H)$ and the functor F as in Proposition 2.2. Then one can easily check that we have:

Corollary 3.3 *If M is a finite dimensional object in ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\alpha\beta, \gamma\beta)$ and consider the object $F(M) \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\alpha, \gamma)$, then $\text{End}(M) = \text{End}(F(M))$ and $\text{End}(M)^{op} = \text{End}(F(M))^{op}$ as algebras in ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$.*

Corollary 3.4 *If $M \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\alpha, \beta)$ is finite dimensional, then*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{End}(M^*) &= \text{End}(M^\diamond), \quad \text{End}({}^*M) = \text{End}({}^\diamond M), \\ \text{End}(M^*)^{op} &= \text{End}(M^\diamond)^{op}, \quad \text{End}({}^*M)^{op} = \text{End}({}^\diamond M)^{op}, \end{aligned}$$

as algebras in ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$.

Proof. Follows from Proposition 2.6 and Corollary 3.3. $\square$

Corollary 3.5 *Let $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Aut}_{H\text{opf}}(H)$ and assume that H is moreover finite dimensional. Then $\text{End}(H_{\alpha, \beta}) = \text{End}(H_{\beta\alpha^{-1}})$ as algebras in ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$, where $H_{\alpha, \beta}$ and $H_{\beta\alpha^{-1}}$ are as in Example 1.4.*

Proof. Follows from Corollary 3.3, using the fact that $H_{\alpha, \beta}$ and $H_{\beta\alpha^{-1}}$ correspond via the isomorphism of categories ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\alpha, \beta) \simeq {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(id, \beta\alpha^{-1})$. $\square$

Let M be a finite dimensional vector space endowed with a left H -module and a right H -comodule structures. Consider on $\text{End}(M)$ the canonical left H -module and right H -comodule structures induced by the structures of M , that is

$$\begin{aligned} (h \cdot u)(m) &= h_1 \cdot u(S(h_2) \cdot m), \\ u_{(0)}(m) \otimes u_{(1)} &= u(m_{(0)})_{(0)} \otimes S^{-1}(m_{(1)})u(m_{(0)})_{(1)}, \end{aligned}$$

for all $h \in H$, $u \in \text{End}(M)$, $m \in M$. We recall the following concept from [2]: if A is an algebra in ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$, then A is called *quasi-elementary* if there exists such an M with the property that $\text{End}(M)$ with the above structures is an algebra in ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ which coincides with A as an algebra in ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$.

Proposition 3.6 *Let $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Aut}_{H\text{opf}}(H)$ and $M \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\alpha, \beta)$ finite dimensional. Then $\text{End}(M)$ with structures as in Proposition 3.1 is a quasi-elementary algebra in ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$.*

Proof. This is obvious if $\alpha = id_H$, because of the formulae for the H -module and H -comodule structures of $\text{End}(M)$ given in Proposition 3.1 (i). For the general case, we consider the functor $F : {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(id_H, \beta\alpha^{-1})$ as in Proposition 2.2. We know from Corollary 3.3 that $\text{End}(M) = \text{End}(F(M))$ as algebras in ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$, and since $\text{End}(F(M))$ is quasi-elementary it follows that so is $\text{End}(M)$. We emphasize that the H -module H -comodule object making $\text{End}(M)$ quasi-elementary is *not* M itself, but $F(M)$. $\square$

Recall from [10] the group $G = \text{Aut}_{H\text{opf}}(H) \times \text{Aut}_{H\text{opf}}(H)$ with multiplication $(\alpha, \beta) * (\gamma, \delta) = (\alpha\gamma, \delta\gamma^{-1}\beta\gamma)$. We have the obvious result:

Lemma 3.7 *The map $G \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{H\text{opf}}(H)$, $(\alpha, \beta) \mapsto \beta\alpha^{-1}$ is a group anti-homomorphism.*

Proposition 3.8 *If H is finite dimensional, the map $(\alpha, \beta) \mapsto \text{End}(H_{\alpha, \beta})$ defines a group homomorphism from G to the Brauer group $BQ(k, H)$ of H .*

Proof. Using Corollary 3.5, the map $(\alpha, \beta) \mapsto \text{End}(H_{\alpha, \beta})$ is just the composition between the group anti-homomorphisms $G \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{H\text{opf}}(H)$ from Lemma 3.7 and $\text{Aut}_{H\text{opf}}(H) \rightarrow BQ(k, H)$, $\alpha \mapsto \text{End}(H_\alpha)$ from [2]. $\square$

Let $\beta \in \text{Aut}_{H\text{opf}}(H)$ and H_β as in Example 1.4; in [2] was defined another object, denoted by H'_β , as follows: it has the same left H -module structure as H_β , and right H -comodule structure given by $h \mapsto h_1 \otimes \beta^{-1}(h_2)$. It was proved then that H'_β satisfies a certain compatibility condition, which actually says that $H'_\beta \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\beta^{-1}, id)$.

If instead of H_β we take an arbitrary object $M \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\alpha, \beta)$, with $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Aut}_{H\text{opf}}(H)$, then the above result admits several possible generalizations; we choose the one that will serve our next purpose, which will be to identify the H -opposite of $\text{End}(M)$ (in case M is finite dimensional), generalizing [2], Lemma 4.5 as well as [1], Proposition 4.2.

Proposition 3.9 Let $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Aut}_{\text{Hopf}}(H)$ and $M \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\alpha, \beta)$. Define a new object M' as follows: M' coincides with M as left H -modules, and has a right H -comodule structure given by

$$m \mapsto m_{<0>} \otimes m_{<1>} := m_{(0)} \otimes \alpha\beta^{-1}(m_{(1)}),$$

where $m \mapsto m_{(0)} \otimes m_{(1)}$ is the comodule structure of M . Then $M' \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\alpha\beta^{-1}\alpha, \alpha)$.

Proof. We compute:

$$\begin{aligned} (h \cdot m)_{<0>} \otimes (h \cdot m)_{<1>} &= (h \cdot m)_{(0)} \otimes \alpha\beta^{-1}((h \cdot m)_{(1)}) \\ &= h_2 \cdot m_{(0)} \otimes \alpha\beta^{-1}(\beta(h_3)m_{(1)}\alpha(S^{-1}(h_1))) \\ &= h_2 \cdot m_{(0)} \otimes \alpha(h_3)\alpha\beta^{-1}(m_{(1)})\alpha\beta^{-1}\alpha(S^{-1}(h_1)) \\ &= h_2 \cdot m_{<0>} \otimes \alpha(h_3)m_{<1>}\alpha\beta^{-1}\alpha(S^{-1}(h_1)), \end{aligned}$$

that is $M' \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\alpha\beta^{-1}\alpha, \alpha)$. □

Proposition 3.10 Let $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Aut}_{\text{Hopf}}(H)$ and $M \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\alpha, \beta)$ finite dimensional; consider also the object $M' \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\alpha\beta^{-1}\alpha, \alpha)$ as above. Define the map

$$\tau : \overline{\text{End}(M)} \rightarrow \text{End}(M')^{\text{op}}, \quad \tau(u)(m) = u_{(0)}(\alpha^{-1}(u_{(1)}) \cdot m),$$

for all $u \in \text{End}(M)$ and $m \in M'$, where $u \mapsto u_{(0)} \otimes u_{(1)}$ is the right H -comodule structure of $\text{End}(M)$. Then τ is an isomorphism of algebras in ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$.

Proof. We first prove that τ is an algebra map. We compute:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(u * v)(m) &= \tau(v_{(0)}(v_{(1)} \cdot u))(m) \\ &= (v_{(0)}(v_{(1)} \cdot u))_{(0)}(\alpha^{-1}((v_{(0)}(v_{(1)} \cdot u))_{(1)}) \cdot m) \\ &= v_{(0)(0)}(v_{(1)} \cdot u)_{(0)}(\alpha^{-1}((v_{(1)} \cdot u)_{(1)}v_{(0)(1)}) \cdot m) \\ &= v_{(0)}(v_{(1)_2} \cdot u)_{(0)}(\alpha^{-1}((v_{(1)_2} \cdot u)_{(1)}v_{(1)_1}) \cdot m) \\ &= v_{(0)}(v_{(1)_3} \cdot u_{(0)})(\alpha^{-1}(v_{(1)_4}u_{(1)}S^{-1}(v_{(1)_2})v_{(1)_1}) \cdot m) \\ &= v_{(0)}(v_{(1)_1} \cdot u_{(0)})(\alpha^{-1}(v_{(1)_2}u_{(1)}) \cdot m) \\ &= v_{(0)}(\alpha^{-1}(v_{(1)_1}) \cdot u_{(0)}(\alpha^{-1}(S(v_{(1)_2}))\alpha^{-1}(v_{(1)_3}u_{(1)}) \cdot m)) \\ &= v_{(0)}(\alpha^{-1}(v_{(1)}) \cdot u_{(0)}(\alpha^{-1}(u_{(1)}) \cdot m)) \\ &= \tau(v)(u_{(0)}(\alpha^{-1}(u_{(1)}) \cdot m)) \\ &= \tau(v)(\tau(u)(m)) \\ &= (\tau(u) \bullet \tau(v))(m), \quad q.e.d. \end{aligned}$$

We prove now that τ is H -linear. We compute:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(h \cdot u)(m) &= (h \cdot u)_{(0)}(\alpha^{-1}((h \cdot u)_{(1)}) \cdot m) \\ &= (h_2 \cdot u_{(0)})(\alpha^{-1}(h_3u_{(1)}S^{-1}(h_1)) \cdot m) \\ &= \alpha^{-1}(h_2) \cdot u_{(0)}(\alpha^{-1}(S(h_3))\alpha^{-1}(h_4u_{(1)}S^{-1}(h_1)) \cdot m) \\ &= \alpha^{-1}(h_2) \cdot u_{(0)}(\alpha^{-1}(u_{(1)}S^{-1}(h_1)) \cdot m) \\ &= \alpha^{-1}(h_2) \cdot u_{(0)}(\alpha^{-1}(u_{(1)})\alpha^{-1}(S^{-1}(h_1)) \cdot m) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \alpha^{-1}(h_2) \cdot \tau(u)(\alpha^{-1}(S^{-1}(h_1)) \cdot m) \\
&= (h \cdot \tau(u))(m), \quad q.e.d.
\end{aligned}$$

We prove now that τ is H -colinear. We have to prove that $\rho(\tau(u)) = \tau(u_{(0)}) \otimes u_{(1)}$, if we denote by ρ the H -comodule structure of $End(M')^{op}$, that is, if we denote $\rho(v) = v^{(0)} \otimes v^{(1)}$, we have to prove that $\tau(u)^{(0)}(m) \otimes \tau(u)^{(1)} = \tau(u_{(0)})(m) \otimes u_{(1)}$ for all $m \in M'$. Recall that the H -comodule structure of M' is given by $m \mapsto m_{<0>} \otimes m_{<1>} = m_{(0)} \otimes \alpha\beta^{-1}(m_{(1)})$. First we compute:

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau(u_{(0)})(m) \otimes u_{(1)} &= u_{(0)(0)}(\alpha^{-1}(u_{(0)(1)}) \cdot m) \otimes u_{(1)} \\
&= u_{(0)}(\alpha^{-1}(u_{(1)_1}) \cdot m) \otimes u_{(1)_2} \\
&= u_{(0)}(\alpha^{-1}(u_{(1)_3}) \cdot m_{(0)}) \\
&\quad \otimes \alpha\beta^{-1}(\beta(\alpha^{-1}(u_{(1)_4}))m_{(1)_1} \alpha(S^{-1}(\alpha^{-1}(u_{(1)_2})))u_{(1)_1} S(m_{(1)_2})) \\
&= u_{(0)}((\alpha^{-1}(u_{(1)_2}) \cdot m_{(0)})_{(0)}) \otimes \alpha\beta^{-1}((\alpha^{-1}(u_{(1)_2}) \cdot m_{(0)})_{(1)} u_{(1)_1} S(m_{(1)})).
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, note that the formula for the H -comodule structure of $End(M)$ implies

$$u(m)_{(0)} \otimes u(m)_{(1)} = u_{(0)}(m_{(0)}) \otimes m_{(1)} u_{(1)}, \quad (3.1)$$

for all $u \in End(M)$ and $m \in M$. Now we compute:

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau(u)^{(0)}(m) \otimes \tau(u)^{(1)} &= \tau(u)(m_{<0>})_{<0>} \otimes \tau(u)(m_{<0>})_{<1>} S(m_{<1>}) \\
&= \tau(u)(m_{(0)})_{(0)} \otimes \alpha\beta^{-1}(\tau(u)(m_{(0)})_{(1)} S(m_{(1)})) \\
&= (u_{(0)}(\alpha^{-1}(u_{(1)}) \cdot m_{(0)}))_{(0)} \\
&\quad \otimes \alpha\beta^{-1}((u_{(0)}(\alpha^{-1}(u_{(1)}) \cdot m_{(0)}))_{(1)} S(m_{(1)})) \\
&\stackrel{(3.1)}{=} u_{(0)(0)}((\alpha^{-1}(u_{(1)}) \cdot m_{(0)})_{(0)}) \\
&\quad \otimes \alpha\beta^{-1}((\alpha^{-1}(u_{(1)}) \cdot m_{(0)})_{(1)} u_{(0)(1)} S(m_{(1)})),
\end{aligned}$$

so the two terms are equal. The only thing left to prove is that τ is bijective; define the map

$$\tau^{-1} : End(M')^{op} \rightarrow \overline{End(M)}, \quad \tau^{-1}(v)(m) = v^{(0)}(\alpha^{-1}(S(v^{(1)})) \cdot m),$$

for all $v \in End(M')^{op}$ and $m \in M$. From the H -colinearity of τ it follows easily that $\tau^{-1}\tau = id$. We have not been able to prove directly that $\tau\tau^{-1} = id$; we need to prove first that τ^{-1} is also H -colinear, that is we have to prove that

$$\tau^{-1}(v)(m_{(0)})_{(0)} \otimes S^{-1}(m_{(1)})\tau^{-1}(v)(m_{(0)})_{(1)} = \tau^{-1}(v^{(0)})(m) \otimes v^{(1)},$$

for all $v \in End(M')^{op}$ and $m \in M$. Note first that

$$v^{(0)}(m) \otimes v^{(1)} = v(m_{(0)})_{(0)} \otimes \alpha\beta^{-1}(v(m_{(0)})_{(1)} S(m_{(1)})),$$

which together with (3.1) imply

$$v(m)_{(0)} \otimes v(m)_{(1)} = v^{(0)}(m_{(0)}) \otimes \beta\alpha^{-1}(v^{(1)})m_{(1)}. \quad (3.2)$$

Now we compute:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \tau^{-1}(v)(m_{(0)})_{(0)} \otimes S^{-1}(m_{(1)})\tau^{-1}(v)(m_{(0)})_{(1)} \\
&= (v^{(0)}(\alpha^{-1}(S(v^{(1)})) \cdot m_{(0)}))_{(0)} \otimes S^{-1}(m_{(1)})(v^{(0)}(\alpha^{-1}(S(v^{(1)})) \cdot m_{(0)}))_{(1)} \\
(3.2) \quad & \stackrel{=}{=} v^{(0)(0)}((\alpha^{-1}(S(v^{(1)})) \cdot m_{(0)})_{(0)}) \\
& \quad \otimes S^{-1}(m_{(1)})\beta\alpha^{-1}(v^{(0)(1)})(\alpha^{-1}(S(v^{(1)})) \cdot m_{(0)})_{(1)} \\
&= v^{(0)(0)}(\alpha^{-1}(S(v^{(1)})_2) \cdot m_{(0)(0)}) \\
& \quad \otimes S^{-1}(m_{(1)})\beta\alpha^{-1}(v^{(0)(1)})\beta\alpha^{-1}(S(v^{(1)})_3)m_{(0)(1)}S^{-1}(S(v^{(1)})_1) \\
&= v^{(0)}(\alpha^{-1}(S((v^{(1)})_3)) \cdot m_{(0)}) \\
& \quad \otimes S^{-1}(m_{(1)2})\beta\alpha^{-1}((v^{(1)})_1)\beta\alpha^{-1}(S((v^{(1)})_2))m_{(1)1}(v^{(1)})_4 \\
&= v^{(0)}(\alpha^{-1}(S((v^{(1)})_1)) \cdot m) \otimes (v^{(1)})_2 \\
&= \tau^{-1}(v^{(0)})(m) \otimes v^{(1)}, \quad q.e.d.
\end{aligned}$$

Now from the H -colinearity of τ^{-1} it follows easily that $\tau\tau^{-1} = id$, hence τ is indeed an isomorphism with inverse τ^{-1} . $\square$

It was proved in [1], Proposition 4.7 that, if M is a finite dimensional Yetter-Drinfeld module, then $End(M)^{op}$ and $End(^*M)$ are isomorphic as algebras in ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$. We generalize this result as follows:

Proposition 3.11 *Let $\alpha, \beta \in Aut_{Hopf}(H)$ and $M \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\alpha, \beta)$ finite dimensional. Then $End(M)^{op} \simeq End(^{\diamond}M)(= End(^*M))$ as algebras in ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$.*

Proof. Define the map

$$\iota : End(M)^{op} \rightarrow End(^{\diamond}M), \quad \iota(u) = u^*,$$

which is obviously an algebra isomorphism. We prove now that it is H -linear. Let $u \in End(M)^{op}$, $h \in H$, $f \in {}^{\diamond}M$ and $m \in M$. Using the various formulae given before (and remembering that ${}^{\diamond}M \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\beta, \alpha)$) we compute:

$$\begin{aligned}
\iota(h \cdot u)(f)(m) &= (f \circ (h \cdot u))(m) \\
&= f((h \cdot u)(m)) \\
&= f(\beta^{-1}(h_2) \cdot u(\beta^{-1}(S^{-1}(h_1)) \cdot m)), \\
(h \cdot \iota(u))(f)(m) &= (\beta^{-1}(h_1) \cdot \iota(u)(\beta^{-1}(S(h_2)) \cdot f))(m) \\
&= (\beta^{-1}(h_1) \cdot ((\beta^{-1}(S(h_2)) \cdot f) \circ u))(m) \\
&= ((\beta^{-1}(S(h_2)) \cdot f) \circ u)(\beta^{-1}(S^{-1}(h_1)) \cdot m) \\
&= (\beta^{-1}(S(h_2)) \cdot f)(u(\beta^{-1}(S^{-1}(h_1)) \cdot m)) \\
&= f(\beta^{-1}(h_2) \cdot u(\beta^{-1}(S^{-1}(h_1)) \cdot m)),
\end{aligned}$$

hence the two terms are equal. The H -colinearity of ι is easy to prove and left to the reader. $\square$

We recall now some more facts from [10]. If $N \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\gamma, \delta)$ and $\alpha, \beta \in Aut_{Hopf}(H)$, define the object ${}^{(\alpha, \beta)}N = N$ as vector space, with structures

$$h \rightharpoonup n = \gamma^{-1}\beta\gamma\alpha^{-1}(h) \cdot n,$$

$$n \mapsto n_{<0>} \otimes n_{<1>} := n_{(0)} \otimes \alpha\beta^{-1}(n_{(1)}).$$

Then ${}^{(\alpha,\beta)}N \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\alpha\gamma\alpha^{-1}, \alpha\beta^{-1}\delta\gamma^{-1}\beta\gamma\alpha^{-1}) = {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H((\alpha, \beta) * (\gamma, \delta) * (\alpha, \beta)^{-1})$, where $*$ is the multiplication in the group G recalled before. Let also $M \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\alpha, \beta)$ and denote by ${}^M N = {}^{(\alpha,\beta)}N$; then the braiding in the T-category $\mathcal{YD}(H)$ is given by the maps

$$c_{M,N} : M \hat{\otimes} N \rightarrow {}^M N \hat{\otimes} M, \quad c_{M,N}(m \otimes n) = n_{(0)} \otimes \beta^{-1}(n_{(1)}) \cdot m,$$

which are isomorphisms in ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H((\alpha, \beta) * (\gamma, \delta))$. In particular, assume that $\alpha = \beta = id_H$, so $M \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$; then obviously ${}^M N = N$ as objects in ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\gamma, \delta)$ and we have the isomorphism in ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\gamma, \delta)$

$$c_{M,N} : M \hat{\otimes} N \rightarrow N \hat{\otimes} M, \quad c_{M,N}(m \otimes n) = n_{(0)} \otimes n_{(1)} \cdot m,$$

with inverse $c_{M,N}^{-1}(n \otimes m) = S(n_{(1)}) \cdot m \otimes n_{(0)}$.

It was proved in [1], Proposition 4.3 that, if M and N are finite dimensional Yetter-Drinfeld modules, then $End(M) \# End(N) \simeq End(M \otimes N)$ as algebras in ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$. We generalize this result as follows:

Proposition 3.12 *If $M \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\alpha, \beta)$ and $N \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\gamma, \delta)$ both finite dimensional, then $End(M) \# End(N) \simeq End(M \hat{\otimes} N)$ as algebras in ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$.*

Proof. Define the map $\phi : End(M) \# End(N) \rightarrow End(M \hat{\otimes} N)$ by the formula

$$\phi(u \# v)(m \otimes n) = u(m_{(0)}) \otimes (m_{(1)} \cdot v)(n),$$

for all $u \in End(M)$, $v \in End(N)$, $m \in M$, $n \in N$, where $\cdot$ is the H -module structure of $End(N)$ as in Proposition 3.1 (i). As in [1] one can prove that ϕ is an algebra map. We prove now that ϕ is H -linear. We compute:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(h \cdot (u \# v))(m \otimes n) &= \phi(h_1 \cdot u \# h_2 \cdot v)(m \otimes n) \\ &= (h_1 \cdot u)(m_{(0)}) \otimes (m_{(1)} h_2 \cdot v)(n) \\ &= \alpha^{-1}(h_1) \cdot u(\alpha^{-1}(S(h_2)) \cdot m_{(0)}) \\ &\quad \otimes \gamma^{-1}(m_{(1)_1} h_3) \cdot v(\gamma^{-1}(S(m_{(1)_2} h_4)) \cdot n), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (h \cdot \phi(u \# v))(m \otimes n) &= \gamma^{-1}\alpha^{-1}(h_1) \cdot (\phi(u \# v)(\gamma^{-1}\alpha^{-1}(S(h_2)) \cdot (m \otimes n))) \\ &= \gamma^{-1}\alpha^{-1}(h_1) \cdot (\phi(u \# v)(\alpha^{-1}(S(h_3)) \cdot m \otimes \gamma^{-1}\beta\alpha^{-1}(S(h_2)) \cdot n)) \\ &= \gamma^{-1}\alpha^{-1}(h_1) \cdot (u((\alpha^{-1}(S(h_3)) \cdot m)_{(0)})) \\ &\quad \otimes ((\alpha^{-1}(S(h_3)) \cdot m)_{(1)} \cdot v)(\gamma^{-1}\beta\alpha^{-1}(S(h_2)) \cdot n)) \\ &= \gamma^{-1}\alpha^{-1}(h_1) \cdot (u(\alpha^{-1}(S(h_4)) \cdot m_{(0)})) \\ &\quad \otimes (\beta\alpha^{-1}(S(h_3))m_{(1)}h_5 \cdot v)(\gamma^{-1}\beta\alpha^{-1}(S(h_2)) \cdot n)) \\ &= \gamma^{-1}\alpha^{-1}(h_1) \cdot (u(\alpha^{-1}(S(h_4)) \cdot m_{(0)}) \otimes \gamma^{-1}\beta\alpha^{-1}(S(h_3)_1)\gamma^{-1}(m_{(1)_1})\gamma^{-1}(h_{(5,1)})) \\ &\quad \cdot v(\gamma^{-1}(S(h_{(5,2)}))\gamma^{-1}(S(m_{(1)_2}))\gamma^{-1}\beta\alpha^{-1}(S(S(h_3)_2))\gamma^{-1}\beta\alpha^{-1}(S(h_2)) \cdot n)) \\ &= \gamma^{-1}\alpha^{-1}(h_1) \cdot (u(\alpha^{-1}(S(h_3)) \cdot m_{(0)}) \otimes \gamma^{-1}\beta\alpha^{-1}(S(h_2))\gamma^{-1}(m_{(1)_1})\gamma^{-1}(h_4)) \\ &\quad \cdot v(\gamma^{-1}(S(h_5))\gamma^{-1}(S(m_{(1)_2})) \cdot n)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \alpha^{-1}(h_1) \cdot u(\alpha^{-1}(S(h_4)) \cdot m_{(0)}) \otimes \gamma^{-1}\beta\alpha^{-1}(h_2)\gamma^{-1}\beta\alpha^{-1}(S(h_3))\gamma^{-1}(m_{(1)_1}h_5) \\
&\quad \cdot v(\gamma^{-1}(S(m_{(1)_2}h_6)) \cdot n) \\
&= \alpha^{-1}(h_1) \cdot u(\alpha^{-1}(S(h_2)) \cdot m_{(0)}) \otimes \gamma^{-1}(m_{(1)_1}h_3) \cdot v(\gamma^{-1}(S(m_{(1)_2}h_4)) \cdot n),
\end{aligned}$$

and we see that the two terms are equal. We have to prove now that ϕ is H -colinear, that is we have to prove that $\phi(u\#v)_{(0)} \otimes \phi(u\#v)_{(1)} = \phi(u_{(0)}\#v_{(0)}) \otimes v_{(1)}u_{(1)}$. We compute:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\phi(u\#v)_{(0)}(m \otimes n) \otimes \phi(u\#v)_{(1)} \\
&= \phi(u\#v)(m_{(0)} \otimes n_{(0)})_{(0)} \otimes S^{-1}(n_{(1)}m_{(1)})\phi(u\#v)(m_{(0)} \otimes n_{(0)})_{(1)} \\
&= u(m_{(0)})_{(0)} \otimes (m_{(1)_1} \cdot v)(n_{(0)})_{(0)} \otimes S^{-1}(n_{(1)}m_{(1)_2})(m_{(1)_1} \cdot v)(n_{(0)})_{(1)}u(m_{(0)})_{(1)} \\
&= u(m_{(0)})_{(0)} \otimes (\gamma^{-1}(m_{(1)_1}) \cdot v(\gamma^{-1}(S(m_{(1)_2})) \cdot n_{(0)}))_{(0)} \\
&\quad \otimes S^{-1}(n_{(1)}m_{(1)_3})(\gamma^{-1}(m_{(1)_1}) \cdot v(\gamma^{-1}(S(m_{(1)_2})) \cdot n_{(0)}))_{(1)}u(m_{(0)})_{(1)} \\
&= u(m_{(0)})_{(0)} \otimes \gamma^{-1}(m_{(1)_2}) \cdot v(\gamma^{-1}(S(m_{(1)_4})) \cdot n_{(0)})_{(0)} \\
&\quad \otimes S^{-1}(n_{(1)}m_{(1)_5})\delta\gamma^{-1}(m_{(1)_3})v(\gamma^{-1}(S(m_{(1)_4})) \cdot n_{(0)})_{(1)}S^{-1}(m_{(1)_1})u(m_{(0)})_{(1)},
\end{aligned}$$

and on the other hand:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\phi(u_{(0)}\#v_{(0)})(m \otimes n) \otimes v_{(1)}u_{(1)} \\
&= u_{(0)}(m_{(0)}) \otimes (m_{(1)} \cdot v_{(0)})(n) \otimes v_{(1)}u_{(1)} \\
&= u_{(0)}(m_{(0)}) \otimes \gamma^{-1}(m_{(1)_1}) \cdot v_{(0)}(\gamma^{-1}(S(m_{(1)_2})) \cdot n) \otimes v_{(1)}u_{(1)} \\
&= u(m_{(0)(0)})_{(0)} \otimes \gamma^{-1}(m_{(1)_1}) \cdot v((\gamma^{-1}(S(m_{(1)_2})) \cdot n)_{(0)})_{(0)} \\
&\quad \otimes S^{-1}((\gamma^{-1}(S(m_{(1)_2})) \cdot n)_{(1)})v((\gamma^{-1}(S(m_{(1)_2})) \cdot n)_{(0)})_{(1)}S^{-1}(m_{(0)(1)})u(m_{(0)(0)})_{(1)} \\
&= u(m_{(0)})_{(0)} \otimes \gamma^{-1}(m_{(1)_2}) \cdot v(\gamma^{-1}(S(m_{(1)_3})_2) \cdot n_{(0)})_{(0)} \\
&\quad \otimes S^{-1}(\delta\gamma^{-1}(S(m_{(1)_3})_3)n_{(1)}S^{-1}(S(m_{(1)_3})_1))v(\gamma^{-1}(S(m_{(1)_3})_2) \cdot n_{(0)})_{(1)} \\
&\quad S^{-1}(m_{(1)_1})u(m_{(0)})_{(1)} \\
&= u(m_{(0)})_{(0)} \otimes \gamma^{-1}(m_{(1)_2}) \cdot v(\gamma^{-1}(S(m_{(1)_4})) \cdot n_{(0)})_{(0)} \\
&\quad \otimes S^{-1}(n_{(1)}m_{(1)_5})\delta\gamma^{-1}(m_{(1)_3})v(\gamma^{-1}(S(m_{(1)_4})) \cdot n_{(0)})_{(1)}S^{-1}(m_{(1)_1})u(m_{(0)})_{(1)},
\end{aligned}$$

hence the two terms are equal. The only thing left to prove is that ϕ is bijective; we give a proof similar to the one in [1]. Namely, one can check that ϕ coincides with the composition of the following isomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned}
End(M) \hat{\otimes} End(N) &\simeq M \hat{\otimes} M^* \hat{\otimes} N \hat{\otimes} N^* \\
&\simeq M \hat{\otimes} N \hat{\otimes} N^* \hat{\otimes} M^* \\
&\simeq M \hat{\otimes} N \hat{\otimes} (M \hat{\otimes} N)^* \\
&\simeq End(M \hat{\otimes} N),
\end{aligned}$$

where the first and the last are the canonical linear isomorphisms, the second is $id_M \otimes c_{N \hat{\otimes} N^*, M^*}^{-1}$ and the third is $id_{M \hat{\otimes} N} \otimes \Psi$, where Ψ is the isomorphism defined in Lemma 2.7. $\square$

Let us recall from [2] that, if A is an algebra in ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ and $\mu \in Aut_{Hopf}(H)$, we can define a new algebra in ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$, denoted by $A(\mu)$, which equals A as an algebra, but with H -structures $(A(\mu), \rightharpoonup, \rho')$ given by $h \rightharpoonup a = \mu(h) \cdot a$ and $\rho'(a) = a_{<0>} \otimes a_{<1>} := a_{(0)} \otimes \mu^{-1}(a_{(1)})$, for all $a \in A(\mu)$, $h \in H$.

Proposition 3.13 *Let $N \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\gamma, \delta)$ finite dimensional and $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Aut}_{\text{Hopf}}(H)$. Then $\text{End}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(N) = \text{End}(N)(\beta\alpha^{-1})$ as algebras in ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$.*

Proof. We compute the structures of $\text{End}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(N)$. Let $h \in H$, $u \in \text{End}(N)$, $n \in N$; we have:

$$\begin{aligned} (h \cdot u)(n) &= \alpha\gamma^{-1}\alpha^{-1}(h_1) \rightharpoonup u(\alpha\gamma^{-1}\alpha^{-1}(S(h_2)) \rightharpoonup n) \\ &= \gamma^{-1}\beta\gamma\alpha^{-1}\alpha\gamma^{-1}\alpha^{-1}(h_1) \cdot u(\gamma^{-1}\beta\gamma\alpha^{-1}\alpha\gamma^{-1}\alpha^{-1}(S(h_2)) \cdot n) \\ &= \gamma^{-1}\beta\alpha^{-1}(h_1) \cdot u(\gamma^{-1}\beta\alpha^{-1}(S(h_2)) \cdot n), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} u_{[0]}(n) \otimes u_{[1]} &= u(n_{<0>})_{<0>} \otimes S^{-1}(n_{<1>})u(n_{<0>})_{<1>} \\ &= u(n_{(0)})_{(0)} \otimes \alpha\beta^{-1}(S^{-1}(n_{(1)})u(n_{(0)})_{(1)}), \end{aligned}$$

while the structures of $\text{End}(N)(\beta\alpha^{-1})$ are:

$$\begin{aligned} (h \rightharpoonup u)(n) &= (\beta\alpha^{-1}(h) \cdot u)(n) \\ &= \gamma^{-1}\beta\alpha^{-1}(h_1) \cdot u(\gamma^{-1}\beta\alpha^{-1}(S(h_2)) \cdot n), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} u_{<0>}(n) \otimes u_{<1>} &= u_{(0)}(n) \otimes \alpha\beta^{-1}(u_{(1)}) \\ &= u(n_{(0)})_{(0)} \otimes \alpha\beta^{-1}(S^{-1}(n_{(1)})u(n_{(0)})_{(1)}), \end{aligned}$$

and we are done. $\square$

It was proved in [1] that, if M and N are finite dimensional Yetter-Drinfeld modules, then $\text{End}(M)\# \text{End}(N) \simeq \text{End}(N)\# \text{End}(M)$ as algebras in ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$. By using Proposition 3.12 and the isomorphisms $c_{M,N}$ recalled above, we obtain the following generalization of this fact:

Proposition 3.14 *Let $M \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\alpha, \beta)$ and $N \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\gamma, \delta)$, both finite dimensional. Then $\text{End}(M)\# \text{End}(N) \simeq \text{End}({}^M N)\# \text{End}(M)$ as algebras in ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$.*

4 H -Azumaya algebras and a subgroup of the Brauer group

We begin by recalling several facts from [1] about H -Azumaya algebras and the Brauer group of a Hopf algebra H .

Let A be a finite dimensional algebra in ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ and consider the maps

$$\begin{aligned} F : A\#\bar{A} &\rightarrow \text{End}(A), \quad F(a\#b)(c) = ac_{(0)}(c_{(1)} \cdot b), \\ G : \bar{A}\#A &\rightarrow \text{End}(A)^{\text{op}}, \quad G(a\#b)(c) = a_{(0)}(a_{(1)} \cdot c)b, \end{aligned}$$

for all $a, b, c \in A$, which are algebra maps in ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$. In case F and G are bijective, A is called H -Azumaya. If M is a finite dimensional object in ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$, then $\text{End}(M)$ is an H -Azumaya algebra. If A and B are H -Azumaya, then so are $A\#B$ and $\bar{A}$. Two H -Azumaya algebras A and B are called Brauer equivalent (and denoted $A \sim B$) if there exist $M, N \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ finite dimensional such that $A\#\text{End}(M) \simeq B\#\text{End}(N)$ as algebras in ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$. The relation $\sim$ is an equivalence relation which respects the operation $\#$. The quotient set is a group with multiplication induced by $\#$ and inverse induced by $A \mapsto \bar{A}$. This group is denoted by $BQ(k, H)$ and called the Brauer group of H . The class of an H -Azumaya algebra A in $BQ(k, H)$ is denoted by $[A]$.

We have now all the necessary ingredients to prove the main result of this paper:

Theorem 4.1 *Let $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Aut}_{\text{Hopf}}(H)$ and $M \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\alpha, \beta)$ a finite dimensional object. Then $\text{End}(M)$, with structures as in Proposition 3.1, is an H -Azumaya algebra.*

Proof. We prove that the map

$$\begin{aligned} F : \text{End}(M) \# \overline{\text{End}(M)} &\rightarrow \text{End}(\text{End}(M)), \\ F(a \# b)(c) &= ac_{(0)}(c_{(1)} \cdot b), \quad \forall a, b, c \in \text{End}(M), \end{aligned}$$

is bijective (the proof that the other map G is bijective is similar and left to the reader). By Propositions 3.10, 3.11 and 3.12, we obtain that $\text{End}(M) \# \overline{\text{End}(M)} \simeq \text{End}(M \hat{\otimes} {}^\diamond(M'))$ as algebras in ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$; in particular, it follows that $\text{End}(M) \# \overline{\text{End}(M)}$ is a simple ring, and since F is an algebra map it follows that F is injective, and hence bijective, as $\dim_k(\text{End}(M) \# \overline{\text{End}(M)}) = \dim_k(\text{End}(\text{End}(M)))$, finishing the proof. $\square$

Corollary 4.2 *We denote by $BA(k, H)$ the subset of $BQ(k, H)$ consisting of H -Azumaya algebras that can be represented as $\text{End}(M)$, with $M \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\alpha, \beta)$ finite dimensional, for some $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Aut}_{\text{Hopf}}(H)$. Then $BA(k, H)$ is a subgroup of $BQ(k, H)$. Moreover, if H is finite dimensional, the image of the group anti-homomorphism from [2], $\text{Aut}_{\text{Hopf}}(H) \rightarrow BQ(k, H)$, $\alpha \mapsto [\text{End}(H_\alpha)]$, is contained in $BA(k, H)$.*

Proof. Follows immediately by using Propositions 3.10, 3.11 and 3.12. $\square$

Remark 4.3 *Following [2], we denote $BT(k, H)$ the subset of $BQ(k, H)$ consisting of classes that are represented by quasi-elementary H -Azumaya algebras. It was noted in [2] that $BT(k, H)$ is closed under multiplication, but it is not known whether it is a subgroup of $BQ(k, H)$. By Proposition 3.6, $BA(k, H) \subseteq BT(k, H)$. Thus, by considering only those quasi-elementary H -Azumaya algebras that are represented as $\text{End}(M)$, with M a finite dimensional object in some ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\alpha, \beta)$, we do obtain a subgroup of $BQ(k, H)$.*

We recall from [2] that the construction $A \mapsto A(\mu)$ recalled before defines a group action of $\text{Aut}_{\text{Hopf}}(H)$ on $BQ(k, H)$, by $\mu([A]) = [A(\mu)]$ for $\mu \in \text{Aut}_{\text{Hopf}}(H)$ and $[A] \in BQ(k, H)$. As a consequence of Proposition 3.13, we obtain:

Corollary 4.4 *The above action induces a group action of $\text{Aut}_{\text{Hopf}}(H)$ on $BA(k, H)$.*

Proposition 4.5 *Assume that there exists (f, g) a pair in involution corresponding to (α, β) and let $M \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\alpha, \beta)$ finite dimensional. Then $[\text{End}(M)] = 1$ in the Brauer group.*

Proof. By [10], Theorem 5.1, M is isomorphic, as (α, β) -Yetter-Drinfeld modules, with ${}_f k^g \hat{\otimes} N$, where $N \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$. Thus $\text{End}(M) \simeq \text{End}({}_f k^g \hat{\otimes} N) \simeq \text{End}({}_f k^g) \# \text{End}(N) = k \# \text{End}(N)$, hence in the Brauer group we get $[\text{End}(M)] = [k][\text{End}(N)] = 1 \cdot 1 = 1$. $\square$

References

- [1] S. Caenepeel, F. Van Oystaeyen, Y. Zhang, Quantum Yang-Baxter module algebras, *K-Theory* **8** (1994), 231–255.
- [2] S. Caenepeel, F. Van Oystaeyen, Y. Zhang, The Brauer group of Yetter-Drinfeld module algebras, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **349** (1997), 3737–3771.

- [3] A. Connes, H. Moscovici, Hopf algebras, cyclic cohomology and the transverse index theorem, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **198** (1998), 199–264.
- [4] A. Connes, H. Moscovici, Cyclic cohomology and Hopf algebra symmetry, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **52** (2000), 1–28.
- [5] P. M. Hajac, M. Khalkhali, B. Rangipour, Y. Sommerhäuser, Stable anti-Yetter-Drinfeld modules, *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* **338** (2004), 587–590.
- [6] P. M. Hajac, M. Khalkhali, B. Rangipour, Y. Sommerhäuser, Hopf-cyclic homology and cohomology with coefficients, *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* **338** (2004), 667–672.
- [7] P. Jara, D. Ştefan, Hopf-cyclic homology and relative cyclic homology of Hopf-Galois extensions, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **93** (2006), 138–174.
- [8] C. Kassel, "Quantum groups", *Graduate Texts in Mathematics* **155**, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1995.
- [9] S. Majid, "Foundations of quantum group theory", Cambridge Univ. Press, 1995.
- [10] F. Panaite, M. D. Staic, Generalized (anti) Yetter-Drinfeld modules as components of a braided T-category, *Israel J. Math.* **158** (2007), 349–365.
- [11] M. E. Sweedler, "Hopf algebras", Benjamin, New York, 1969.
- [12] V. Turaev, Homotopy field theory in dimension 3 and crossed group-categories, arXiv:math.GT/0005291.